PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the EXAMINING AUTHORITY INTERNATIONAL PRELIM RICHARD S. MYERS, JR. STITES & HARBISON PLLC 424 CHURCH STREET, SUITE 1800 NASHVILLE, TN 37219 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from 11636/020724 the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 03 October 2002 (03.10.2002) PCT/US03/31390 03 October 2003 (03.10.2003) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 31/7048; A61P 31/10 and US Cl.: 536/6.5; 514/2 Applicant UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the llowing items: Basis of the opinion **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

P.O. Box 1450

DOCKET DATE: IDBOY- response

ASSIGNED BY: FV

DOCKETED BY: EV

819104

Telephone No. 703-308-0196

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIN Y EXAMINING AUTHORITY RICHARD S. MYERS, JR. STITES & HARBISON PLLC 424 CHURCH STREET, SUITE 1800 NASHVILLE, TN 37219 WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) Date of Mailing AUG 2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from 11636/020724 the above date of mailing International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US03/31390 03 October 2003 (03.10.2003) 03 October 2002 (03.10.2002) International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61K 31/7048; A61P 31/10 and US Cl.: 536/6.5; 514/2 Applicant UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI This written opinion is the first (first, etc.) drawn by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion II **Priority** Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ťΨ Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US

Telephone No. 703-308-0196

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

T T T	THE STREET	ODD	TANE
WK	ITTEN	UPIT	NIC)N

International application No.

PCT/US03/

I.	Basi	is of the opinion				
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*				
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed				
	\boxtimes	the description:				
		pages 1-21 , as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
	\boxtimes	the claims:				
		pages 22 and 23, as originally filed				
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
	\boxtimes	the drawings:				
	_	pages 1-3 , as originally filed				
		pages NONE, filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
		the sequence listing part of the description:				
		pages NONE , as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).					
	H	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).				
		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the international application in printed form.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	Щ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	_	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages NONE				
		the claims, Nos. NONE				
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE				
5.	\Box	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go				
٥.		beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	Replac	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in ion as "originally filed."				



International arction No.
PCT/US03/31

Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>11</u> Claims <u>1-</u> Claims <u>N</u> 0	10, 12-16	
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-</u> Claims <u>N</u>		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-</u> Claims <u>N</u>		
- · · · ·	· ·		
- · · · · ·	· ·	ONE	YES
	Claims <u>1-</u>	16	
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-	16	YES
• • • •		ONE	·····
Eclaims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 15, and 16 lack novelty under Protect teaches HPLC purification of amphotericin B and its Claims 1-16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), can be made or used in industry.	CT Article : s use in trea and thus ha	33(2) as being anticipated by U.S. pting fungal infections. ave industrial applicability because	patent 4,902,789. The '789

WRITTEN	OPINION
---------	---------



International application No. PCT/US03

Supplemental I	Зох
----------------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)